

Dear Pat,

Thank you for providing for review the draft *Radiological Data Evaluation Findings Report for Parcels B and G Soil*, Former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California, September 2017. The City has told us its priority is Parcel G, so we are starting with that and reviewing Parcel B second. Thomas stated that the Navy's priority is to get an indication of which locations are greater or lesser concern. As I promised last Thursday, attached is an early indication of that. Attached is a spreadsheet for trench units (TU's) in Parcel G that shows observations we have noted. The column with overall score shows the following:

- 2 = Sufficient evidence has already been found in the form, the FRED database, and/or other sources to conclude the resampling is necessary in this trench unit before EPA can conclude that the record supports that the Parcel G ROD requirements have been met.
- 1 = More review is needed before EPA's conclusion as to whether more resampling is necessary. More review may include, for example, further statistical tests to be run and completed soon.
- 0 = No indications have been found thus far for particular concerns in this trench unit. However, as the Navy wrote in Section 1.3 of this draft report, "Because it is impossible to determine whether every instance of potential data manipulation or falsification has been identified, the Navy recommends additional surveys and sampling beyond the areas with evidence of data manipulation. Additional soil sampling locations will be selected in coordination with the regulatory agencies." (Section 1.3, p. 1-2)

The prioritization of fill units will correspond to the prioritization of trench units. We will update the spreadsheet as our review continues. A more formal and thorough set of written comments will come later. We are still working on the following: Narrative comments on the main text and overall approach, the listing of corresponding fill units, and the review for Parcel B.